



CALIFORNIA  
ENVIRONMENTAL  
JUSTICE ALLIANCE

# 2023 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE SCORECARD





CEJA meets in Los Angeles to discuss its 2024 strategic plan.  
Source: CEJA

## 2023 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE SCORECARD

This 2023 California Environmental Justice Alliance and CEJA Action Environmental Justice Scorecard is the only scorecard in the state to grade California legislators solely on their support for environmental justice issues.

## ABOUT THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ALLIANCE AND CEJA ACTION

CEJA is a statewide, community-led alliance that works to achieve environmental justice by advancing policy solutions. We unite the powerful local organizing of our members and partners in the neighborhoods most impacted by environmental hazards — communities of color and communities with low-income backgrounds — to create comprehensive opportunities for change at a statewide level. We build the power of people across California to implement transformative change and build a future without structural economic disinvestment, racial inequity, and a legacy of pollution. Together, we are growing the statewide movement for environmental health and social justice.

CEJA Action builds the political power of communities of color by mobilizing voters, organizing and training grassroots leaders to engage in civil and electoral politics, and advocating for environmentally and socially just policies that are critical to improving health and quality of life for all Californians. We believe California's communities of color are a powerful force for equitable environmental policies and a more participatory, equitable democracy.



CEJA staff participated in Movement Generation's Just Transition training. Source: CEJA

## THE 2023 SESSION: AFTER DEFENSIVE WINS AND A DISASTROUS BUDGET, ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE NEEDS COMMUNITY-CENTERED SOLUTIONS IN 2024

The most recent legislative session found the California Environmental Justice Alliance at the forefront of environmental justice battles in the California State Legislature. 2023 was, in many ways, a disappointing year for environmental justice communities across California. But it made clear that we cannot afford to simply tweak the status quo in our pursuit of a just and healthy future for all Californians.

Racist land use practices and the resulting climate, health, and pollution vulnerabilities demand fearless solutions. We need paralleled investments of time, resources, and funds into environmental justice communities that repair the decades of disinvestment and pollution. We need innovative, community-led strategies to make California neighborhoods healthy and resilient. We need to inspire California lawmakers to lead the state into a future where environmental justice is not an ideal, but a living reality.

*We need paralleled investments of time, resources, and funds into environmental justice communities that repair the decades of disinvestment and pollution. We need innovative, community-led strategies to make California neighborhoods healthy and resilient.*



A delegation of CAUSE community leaders and workers from the Central Coast came to Sacramento to advocate for a #SafetyNet4All. Source: CAUSE

## Transition and Transformation

Within CEJA, 2023 ushered in a period of profound renewal as we embraced the integration of new directors, team members, and policy expertise to clarify the environmental justice transformation for California and empower our alliance and members' leadership in policy and on the ballot, and to activate communities who live in this state's most polluted communities. In the legislature, leadership changes swept through both the Senate and the Assembly, presenting fresh opportunities for collaboration and advocacy on environmental justice initiatives.

CEJA encourages the new Speaker of the Assembly Robert Rivas (D-Salinas) and the next Senate President Pro Tempore Mike McGuire (D-Healdsburg) to meet with environmental communities, tour the places we call home, and truly understand the cumulative health impacts and comprehensive intersectional climate and environmental solutions that our neighborhoods need.

## Disinvestment for Frontline Communities

Frontline communities, often communities of color with low-income backgrounds, are disproportionately burdened by environmental pollution and related health problems. Toxic industries like oil and gas have poisoned environmental justice neighborhoods for generations, typically with little to no intervention from the state. These residents' priorities were alarmingly ignored during the 2023 legislative session.

Future state funding for critical programs such as Transformative Climate Communities and Community Resilience Centers were cut completely, leaving those already facing the worst effects of the climate crisis without crucial community benefits for relief and resilience. California took away funding for community-led solutions, citing lack of funding, while simultaneously spending billions resurrecting toxic once-through cooling power plants, doubling down on pollution and climate vulnerabilities. Further, we saw an incredibly dangerous advancement of

hydrogen legislation across multiple sectors — another expensive use of resources on a fossil fuel industry-backed strategy.

Recent legislation has also prioritized policies that weaken the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) in the name of building housing. CEQA is often the only meaningful path for residents to have a voice in shaping where their families will live. These bills present a false choice between having a home and being able to live in a healthy and clean environment, and CEJA looks forward to continuing advocating for a stronger CEQA.

CEJA is fervently committed to forging strong partnerships that aim to seek legislative changes that invest in communities burdened by environmental and public health challenges.

### Unprecedented Advocacy, Unfortunate Roadblocks

CEJA celebrated successes with bills like AB 421 (Bryan) reforming the state referendum process, and AB 1167 (Carrillo) ensuring responsible oil well ownership transitions. These victories underscored the power of collaborative and cross-sector advocacy across environmental justice, labor, and democracy rights organizations. However, industry was able to successfully stall progress and intervene on sound public health policy, especially with the delay of bills like AB 1000 (Reyes), which would have prohibited local governments from siting a warehouse of 100,000 or more square feet within 1,000 feet of a sensitive receptor, like schools or daycare centers, stalling in the early stages.

### Bold Bills That Died Too Soon

2023 witnessed the introduction of unprecedented bills, illustrating the increased courage and responsibility of legislative members to be bold and protect their constituents. SB 556 (Gonzalez) aimed to shift the burden of proof to polluters. It would hold fossil fuel companies legally accountable for the health issues — like cancer or high-risk pregnancy — suffered by those who live near an oil or gas operation. SB 709 (Allen) tackled the dairy methane pollution problem within the Low



CEJA Climate Justice Director Nile Malloy speaking at an alliance-wide convening. Source: CEJA

***CEJA is committed to working with lawmakers to put forward more, bolder environmental justice legislation in 2024.***

Carbon Fuel Standard, a long-standing climate issue that had been ignored for years. Unfortunately, the legislature decided to not advance either to a floor vote in 2023, although both are now two-year bills. While it was extremely disappointing to see these bills drowned in a wave of polluting industry lobbying money, CEJA is committed to working with lawmakers to put forward more, bolder environmental justice legislation in 2024. An end to oil drilling in California, safe and healthy communities for all, the democratization of clean energy — anything is possible with organized environmental justice communities, powerful legislative champions, and determination.



CEJA members joined with more than 200 EJ organizers at a five-day Climate Justice Alliance Convening in Indianapolis. Source: CEJA

## HIGHLIGHTS

**Building Momentum and Alliances:** Despite challenges, CEJA celebrated the introduction of bills like SB 556 (Gonzalez) and SB 709 (Allen). These bills showcased the growing momentum of environmental justice campaigns and the increasing excitement of legislators to champion vital causes.

**Defensive Wins:** CEJA achieved defensive wins against industry attempts to dilute crucial regulations, such as carbon capture and storage protections. We also saw, and defeated, attempts to introduce polluting dirty hydrogen legislation at the end of session. These victories highlighted the effectiveness of environmental justice advocacy and our capacity to thwart last-minute fossil fuel industry maneuvers

**Strategic Advocacy:** CEJA successfully advocated for the reappointment of Diane Takvorian, a foundational leader for this state's environmental justice movement, to the California Air Resources

Board. This strategic move reinforced CEJA's commitment to having a powerful voice in key regulatory bodies. At the end of 2022, Gov. Gavin Newsom announced a special session to hold Big Oil accountable for their unexplained price increases. Our alliance fought for this outcome to not only include critical transparency measures but also task agencies with planning for our transition off fossil fuels in SBX 1-2 (Skinner).

**Industry Influence and Opposition:** Industry-backed bills like SB 842 (Bradford) posed significant threats. It would have undermined the price gouging law by preventing the California Energy Commission from stopping unnecessary refinery maintenance, and showcased another win in the persistent struggle against industry influence. CEJA's support of the price gouging penalty is just one facet of our continuing drive to divest the fossil fuel industry of power. Showing a commitment to hold oil and gas companies accountable to protect the public, Gov. Newsom ultimately vetoed SB 842.



CEJA members and partners fighting against once-through cooling gas plants.  
Source: CEJA

## OBSTACLES

**Budgetary Challenges:** CEJA faced disappointments in the budget and current proposed bond allocations amid budget deficits. Crucial climate equity priorities like Community Resilience Centers and Transformative Climate Communities, committed to receive full funding through 2024, were swiftly zeroed one year after this promise, leaving climate-impacted communities of color again without pledged critical investments.

**Unfulfilled Promises:** While Gov. Newsom continues to publicly highlight climate as a priority, his budgetary commitments have yet to materialize. This fails to protect environmental justice communities and communities of color, which leaves critical environmental justice programs facing a dead end as the climate crisis accelerates and the scale of need is large and increasing.

## LOOKING FORWARD

As CEJA navigates the aftermath of the legislative session, our focus shifts toward resilience and strategic planning. The past session's challenges emphasize the need for sustained advocacy, strong collaboration, and a proactive approach to championing environmental justice. CEJA remains committed to its mission to imagine and pass historic environmental justice policies to transform our communities. We are determined to stop dangerous false solutions from the fossil fuel industry and support community-driven visions like Transformative Climate Communities and Community Resilience Centers.

## TOP OF THE CLASS

CEJA is excited to announce the seven legislators who earned 100% or above on our scorecard.



**Assemblymember Isaac Bryan**  
Assembly District 55  
(Los Angeles)



**Assemblymember Laura Friedman**  
Assembly District 44  
(Los Angeles)



**Senator Lena Gonzalez**  
Senate District 33 (Los Angeles)



**Senator John Laird**  
Senate District 17  
(Santa Cruz, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Clara)



**Senator Monique Limón**  
Senate District 19  
(Santa Barbara, Ventura)



**Senator Lola Smallwood-Cuevas**  
Senate District 28 (Los Angeles)



**Senator Henry Stern**  
Senate District 27 (Los Angeles)

## HONORABLE MENTIONS

The following legislators earned 90% and above. CEJA looks forward to working with them in the future.

### Assemblymembers:

Wendy Carrillo, Damon Connolly, Matt Haney, Ash Kalra, Alex Lee, Josh Lowenthal, Al Muratsuchi, Gail Pellerin, Eloise Gómez Reyes, Luz Rivas, Chris Ward, Jim Wood, and Rick Chavez Zbur

### Senators:

Ben Allen, María Elena Durazo, Caroline Menjivar, Dave Min, Nancy Skinner, and Scott Wiener.





CEJA members prep for their office visits during the 8/22/23 CEJA and Green New Deal Coalition Joint Lobby Day. Source: CEJA

## GOVERNOR NEWSOM NEEDS MORE JUSTICE IN HIS CLIMATE AGENDA

Gov. Newsom led in several key areas this past year, from calling a special session to address out-of-control gas prices to filing a new lawsuit with Attorney General Rob Bonta to hold oil companies accountable for their decades of deception. In the legislative session, however, we found that several promises on key environmental justice issues failed in the follow-through on them. Specifically, his cuts to the climate package in the state budget have eliminated future funding for crucial climate equity programs.

Environmental justice priorities such as Transformative Climate Communities and Community Resilience Centers, which were initially included in the \$54 billion climate budget, were promptly cut once the state faced a budget deficit. The complete elimination of climate equity programs is telling. Although his administration promised environmental justice organizations increased funding for these priorities in a bond, we will need the governor to make this pledge a reality for environmental justice communities.

We applaud Gov. Newsom for signing all 11 of CEJA's supported bills that reached his desk. However, when faced with CEJA's opposed/unless amended bills, the governor's decisions were more mixed. He emphatically vetoed SB 842, a last-minute proposal that could have undermined penalties against price gouging, but signed the other two bills into law.

*We'll be watching to see if the Governor keeps his word in 2024 and steps up as a champion on key environmental justice issues.*

In addition, the governor’s handling of the budget infrastructure trailer bill package was incredibly disappointing. The bills, a number of which expedited judicial review for a wide array of projects — including harmful energy and questionable transportation projects — did little to advance environmental justice priorities or produce fair outcomes. This absence of a robust public input process denies frontline communities the chance to voice their concerns and needs for protections. Moreover, the urgency imposed on the state budget process in this case seemed artificial, opening the door to unforeseen consequences due to the lack of community review and input opportunities.

The true impact of Gov. Newsom’s performance this year has yet to be fully realized, as the follow-through on key promises and commitments will need to materialize. We’ll be watching to see if the governor keeps his word in 2024 and steps up as a champion on key environmental justice issues such as keeping the 3,200-foot oil and gas safety buffer zone, appearing on the ballot in November 2024, and the climate budget. And we will continue to work with the Governor’s Office to improve his climate policies through partnering with our members who have the wisdom on the ground to make these strategies work.

CEJA unequivocally supports a ceasefire in Gaza. We stand with the California legislators who have publicly called for a ceasefire, including: Assemblymember Matt Haney, Assemblymember Alex Lee, and Assemblymember Liz Ortega.

# BILLS WE'RE SCORING

## Support Bills

### AB 241 (Reyes)

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** This reauthorizes fees to fund clean air and transportation programs.
- ▶ **WHY WE LIKE IT:** These fees will continue to support landmark programs to support clean transportation, especially in low-income and disadvantaged communities.
- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Became a two-year bill, final deal was negotiated into AB 126 (Reyes)

### AB 421 (Bryan)

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** This bill reforms California's referendum process.
- ▶ **WHY WE LIKE IT:** Big tobacco companies, oil companies, fast food companies, and plastic manufacturers have all utilized the referendum process in recent years as a loophole to undermine progress that protects working people, improves our health, or limits harmful pollution.
- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Signed into law.

### AB 1000 (Reyes)

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** Restricts the development of large warehouses within 1,000 feet or less of sensitive receptors, such as homes, parks, or schools.
- ▶ **WHY WE LIKE IT:** The proliferation of warehouses in regions such as the Inland Empire has led to enormous truck traffic and more than 600,000 truck trips a day, resulting in hazardous air pollution and detrimental health impacts in nearby neighborhoods with low-income backgrounds and communities of color.
- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Became a two-year bill.

### AB 1167 (Carrillo)

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** This bill ensures that onshore wells cannot be transferred to another operator unless that transferee files a bond in the amount of the actual cost of cleanup.
- ▶ **WHY WE LIKE IT:** As California's oil production declines, oil well owners are selling the wells to companies who are increasingly less likely to be in a financial position to complete the required cleanup of those wells. Currently, taxpayers are stuck with the bill.
- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Signed into law.

### SBX 1-2 (Skinner)

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** Requires the oil and gas industry to be more transparent about their practices and be accountable to independent watchdog within the California Energy Commission.
- ▶ **WHY WE LIKE IT:** Refineries in the state will no longer be able to manipulate the market and collect their record-setting profits in secret. This bill gives all Californians the ability to push back and also start planning for our transition away from fossil fuels.

- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Signed into law.

### SB 3 (Dodd)

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** This bill extends protections in the Water Protection Shutoff Act to small community water systems.
- ▶ **WHY WE LIKE IT:** Despite the risk to public health, water systems have shut off water for nonpayment far too often in California, a practice that disproportionately impacts BIPOC households. This bill ensures the protections created for larger water systems in 2018 apply to smaller systems.
- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Signed into law.

**SB 253 (Wiener)**

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** This bill requires corporations with gross revenues of \$1 billion to report their full GHG emissions.
- ▶ **WHY WE LIKE IT:** By requiring reporting of both direct emissions from these corporations and any emissions produced from their supply chains and other indirect emissions, SB 253 creates the data infrastructure to drive down corporate carbon emissions.
- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Signed into law.

**SB 567 (Durazo)**

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** This bill closes loopholes in the Tenant Protection Act of 2019 (AB 1482) by adding stronger protections for renters from unjust evictions and creates strong enforcement mechanisms for tenants, both at the local and state level.
- ▶ **WHY WE LIKE IT:** Evictions and rent increases cause homelessness. More needs to be done to help renters stay in their homes. This bill fills critical gaps in existing protections to provide more stability to renters in California.
- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Signed into law.

**SB 674 (Gonzalez)**

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** This bill creates a statewide standard for refinery fence-line monitoring to ensure that noxious pollutants are accurately measured.
- ▶ **WHY WE LIKE IT:** Research has shown many documented health risks for fence-line communities, including increased risk of asthma, cancers, birth defects, and neurological and cardiovascular damage among other conditions. These risks are amplified the closer a person lives to a refinery.
- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Became a two-year bill.

**SB 709 (Allen)**

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** Makes changes to the way the Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) treats fuel derived from methane.
- ▶ **WHY WE LIKE IT:** This bill removes long-standing perverse incentives in the LCFS that encourage large dairy operations in disadvantaged communities.
- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Made a two-year bill.

**Oppose Bills****AB 1633 (Ting)**

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** Allows developers to sue local governments before the environmental review process is complete, prioritizing developer profits over community priorities and environmental health protections.
- ▶ **WHY WE DON'T LIKE IT:** This bill will significantly weaken CEQA's ability to protect environmental justice communities.
- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Signed into law.

**SB 842 (Bradford)**

- ▶ **WHAT IT DOES:** This last-minute gut-and-amend undermines the price gouging law by preventing the California Energy Commission from stopping unnecessary refinery maintenance.
- ▶ **WHY WE DON'T LIKE IT:** After California passed a first in the nation price gouging law to make refineries more transparent to the public, this bill would have weakened that and taken a key new tool out of the public's toolbox.
- ▶ **OUTCOME:** Vetoed by Gov. Newsom.

## METHODOLOGY

Our 2023 Environmental Justice Scorecard evaluates votes taken on bills as they move through the legislative process. For each scored bill, we developed a single score for each legislator representing the percentage of votes that they cast on that bill in support of CEJA's favored position. Each legislator's total score is the average of their score on each distinct bill. (For example, if a legislator cast five votes on a bill as it passed through committees to the floor, their score for the bill will include all five votes; if they voted in favor of CEJA's position four times but abstained once, their score for that bill would be 80 percent.) If a legislator was not able to vote on a bill, or in the rare instance in which a legislator had an excused absence during every vote on the bill, that bill does not count toward their overall average.

Typically, CEJA scores bills that CEJA or its member organizations have taken a public stance in support of or in opposition against. This year, we additionally chose to score SB 842, a bill introduced late in the legislative cycle that would have weakened the price gouging law, SBX 1-2, by allowing fossil fuel companies to conduct unnecessary refinery maintenance with no oversight from state agencies. CEJA chose to score this bill because of our already established stance in support of a strong price gouging law.

CEJA's scorecard aims to comprehensively and fairly evaluate each California legislator's performance on environmental justice issues. However, votes alone cannot capture the entirety of a lawmaker's impact on the environmental justice movement. With that in mind, we include Community Points in our evaluation. CEJA and each of our 10 member and partner organizations can award up to three points to legislators who significantly worked to advance CEJA's priorities by upholding our Principles of Environmental Justice. Each point can add a percentage to each legislator's score. Some examples of ways in which legislators have demonstrated their commitment to these principles include participating in environmental justice-focused community tours, engaging in respectful dialogue with environmental justice community

leaders, including environmental justice resident testimonies alongside scientific research, and attending tours to deepen their understanding of community issues.

While voting records compose the overwhelming weight of the scores, we hope that Community Points serve as a reminder that when it comes to environmental justice, a legislator's job begins, but does not end, with casting votes — and must include proactive partnership with environmental justice organizations and communities.

## SCORECARD KEY

- # **Votes aligned with CEJA's position**
- X **Votes or abstentions contradicted CEJA's position**
- \* **Votes or abstentions sometimes aligned with and sometimes contradicted CEJA's position**
- ^ **Indicates author or co-author of the bill**

ASSEMBLYMEMBER	GRADE	SCORE	COMM POINTS			
				AB 241	AB 421	AB 1000
Dawn Addis (AD-30)	B+	89		#	#^	#
Cecelia Aguiar-Curry (AD-4)	C+	78		#	#	#
Juan Alanis (AD-22)	F	0		X	X	
David Alvarez (AD-80)	D-	63		X	#	
Joaquin Arambula (AD-31)	B+	89	1	#	#	
Jasmeet Bains (AD-35)	F	31		X	#	
Rebecca Bauer-Kahan (AD-16)	C	75		X	#	
Steve Bennett (AD-38)	B+	89		#	#^	
Marc Berman (AD-23)	B+	88		#	#^	
Tasha Boerner (AD-77)	D+	68		#	#^	X
Mia Bonta (AD-18)	B+	89		#	#^	
Isaac Bryan (AD-55)	A+	100	4	#	#^	
Lisa Calderon (AD-56)	B+	89		#	#^	
Juan Carrillo (AD-39)	C	76		*	#^	
Wendy Carrillo (AD-52)	A	94		#	#^	
Sabrina Cervantes (AD-58)	D	63		#	#^	
Phillip Chen (AD-59)	F	6		X	X	
Damon Connolly (AD-12)	A-	90		#	#^	
Megan Dahle (AD-1)	F	0		X	X	
Laurie Davies (AD-74)	F	0		X	X	
Diane Dixon (AD-72)	F	0		X	X	X
Bill Essayli (AD-63)	F	0		X	X	
Heath Flora (AD-9)	F	0		X	X	X
Mike Fong (AD-49)	B+	89		#	#^	
Vince Fong (AD-32)	F	0		X	X	
Laura Friedman (AD-44)	A+	102		#^	#^	#
Jesse Gabriel (AD-46)	B+	88		#	#^	
James Gallagher (AD-3)	F	0		X	X	
Eduardo Garcia (AD-36)	B	86			#	
Mike Gipson (AD-65)	D+	69		#	#	
Timothy Grayson (AD-15)	F	58			#	
Matt Haney (AD-17)	A-	91	1	#	#^	
Gregg Hart (AD-37)	B+	89		#	#^	
Chris Holden (AD-41)	B+	89		#^	#	
Josh Hoover (AD-7)	F	-1		X	X	X
Jacqui Irwin (AD-42)	D-	63		X	#	
Corey Jackson (AD-60)	A-	90	1	#^	#^	
Reginald Byron Jones-Sawyer (AD-57)	B	86			#	
Ash Kalra (AD-25)	A-	91		#^	#^	
Tom Lackey (AD-34)	F	0		X	X	

SUPPORT							OPPOSE	
AB 1167	SBX 1-2	SB 3	SB 253	SB 567	SB 674	SB 709	AB 1633	SB 842
#	#	#	#	#				X
X	#	#	#	#				X
X	X	X	X	X				X
X	#	#	#	#				X
#	#	#	#	#				X
*	X	#	X	X				X
#	#	#	#	#				X
#	#	#^	#	#				X
#	#	#	#	#				X
#	#	#	#^	X				X
#	#	#	#	#^				X
#	#	#	#^	#	#			*
#	#	#	#	#	#			X
*	#	#	#	#				X
#^	#	#	#	#	#			*
X	#	#	X	#				X
X	X	X	X	X				*
#	#	#^	#	#	#			X
X	X	X	X	X	X			X
X	X	X	X	X	X			X
X	X	X	X	X	X			X
X	X	X	X	X	X			X
#	#	#	#	#	#			X
X	X	X	X	X				X
#	#	#	#^	#	#			#
#	#	#	#	#				X
X	X	X	X	X				X
#	#	#	#	#				X
*	#	#^	X	#				X
X	#	#^	X	#				X
#	#	#	#^	#^	#			X
#	#	#	#	#	#			X
#	#	#	#	#	#			X
X	X	X	X	X	X			X
#	#	#	#	X				X
#	#	#	#	#				X
#	#	#	#	#				X
#	#	#	#^	#^	#			X
X	X	X	X	X				X

ASSEMBLYMEMBER	GRADE	SCORE	COMM POINTS			
				AB 241	AB 421	AB 1000
Alex Lee (AD-24)	A-	90	1	#	#	
Evan Low (AD-26)	D+	69		#	#	
Josh Lowenthal (AD-69)	A	95		#^	#^	
Brian Maienschein (AD-76)	B+	89		#	#	
Devon Mathis (AD-33)	F	3		X	X	X
Kevin McCarty (AD-6)	B+	88		#	#	
Tina McKinnor (AD-61)	B+	89		#	#^	
Al Muratsuchi (AD-66)	A	96		#	#	#
Stephanie Nguyen (AD-10)	F	44		*	X	
Liz Ortega (AD-20)	B+	88		#^	#^	
Blanca Pacheco (AD-64)	D	65		#	*	X
Diane Papan (AD-21)	B	83		#	#	
Jim Patterson (AD-8)	F	0		X	X	
Joe Patterson (AD-5)	F	0		X	X	
Gail Pellerin (AD-28)	A-	90		#	#	#
Cottie Petrie-Norris (AD-73)	C	75		X	#	
Sharon Quirk-Silva (AD-67)	D-	63		#	#	
James Ramos (AD-45)	F	28		#	*	X
Anthony Rendon (AD-62)	B+	88		#	#	
Eloise Gómez Reyes (AD-50)	A	93	2	#^	#	^
Luz Rivas (AD-43)	A	94	3	#^	#^	#
Robert Rivas (AD-29)	C	76		*	*	#
Freddie Rodriguez (AD-53)	F	31		X	*	
Blanca Rubio (AD-48)	F	38		X	X	
Kate Sanchez (AD-71)	F	0		X	X	
Miguel Santiago (AD-54)	B+	89		#	#^	
Pilar Schiavo (AD-40)	B+	88		#	#^	
Esmeralda Soria (AD-27)	F	44		X	#	
Tri Ta (AD-70)	F	0		X	X	
Phil Ting (AD-19)	B+	87		#	#	
Avelino Valencia (AD-68)	F	44		X	*	
Carlos Villapudua (AD-13)	F	31		X	*	
Marie Waldron (AD-75)	F	0		X	X	X
Greg Wallis (AD-47)	F	0		X	X	
Chris Ward (AD-78)	A-	91		#	#^	#
Akilah Weber (AD-79)	B	84		#	#	
Buffy Wicks (AD-14)	B+	89	1	#	#	
Lori Wilson (AD-11)	C	73			#	X
Jim Wood (AD-2)	A-	90		#	#	#
Rick Chavez Zbur (AD-51)	A-	91		#^	#	#



SUPPORT							OPPOSE	
AB 1167	SBX 1-2	SB 3	SB 253	SB 567	SB 674	SB 709	AB 1633	SB 842
#	#	#	#^	#^				X
*	#	#^	X	#				X
#	#	#	#	#	^			*
#	#	#	#	#	#			X
X	X	X	X	X	X			*
#	#	#	#	#				X
#	#	#	#	#^	#			X
#	#	#	#^	#	#^			*
X	#	#	X	#				X
#		#^	#	#^				X
*	#	#	#	*	#			X
*	#	#	#	#	#			X
X	X	X	X	X				X
X	X	X	X	X				X
#	#	#	#	#	#			X
#	#	#	#	#				X
X	X	#	#	#				X
X	X	#	X	X				X
#	#	#	#	#				X
#	#	#	#	#^	#			X
#	#	#	#	#	#			X
*	#	#	#	#				X
X	#	#	X	X				X
X	#	#	X	#				X
X	X	X	X	X	X			X
#	#	#	#	#^				X
#	#	#	#	#				X
X	#	*	X	*	#			X
X	X	X	X	X				X
#	#	#	#^	#				X
X	#	#	X	#				X
X	#	#	X	X				X
X	X	X	X	X				X
X	X	X	X	X				X
#	#	#	#^	#	#			X
#	#	#^	*	#	#			X
#	#	#	#	#				X
*	#	#^	#	#	#			X
#	#	#	#	#	#			X
#	#	#	#^	#	#			X

SENATOR	GRADE	SCORE	COMM POINTS			
				AB 241	AB 421	AB 1000
Benjamin Allen (SD-24)	A	96			#	
Marie Alvarado-Gil (SD-4)	F	0			X	
Bob Archuleta (SD-30)	D+	67			#	
Angelique Ashby (SD-8)	C	75			#	
Toni Atkins (SD-39)	B+	89			#	
Josh Becker (SD-13)	B+	89			#^	
Catherine Blakespear (SD-38)	B	84			#^	
Steve Bradford (SD-35)	D	66			#	
Anna Caballero (SD-14)	D+	69			#	
Dave Cortese (SD-15)	B+	89			#	
Brian Dahle (SD-1)	F	0			X	
Bill Dodd (SD-3)	F	45			#	
María Elena Durazo (SD-26)	A-	92	2		#	
Susan Eggman (SD-5)	D+	67			#	
Steve Glazer (SD-7)	F	50			#	
Lena Gonzalez (SD-33)	A+	103	4	^	#^	
Shannon Grove (SD-12)	F	0			X	
Melissa Hurtado (SD-16)	F	46			#	
Brian Jones (SD-40)	F	0			X	
John Laird (SD-17)	A+	100			#	
Monique Limón (SD-19)	A+	100			#	
Mike McGuire (SD-2)	C+	78			#	
Caroline Menjivar (SD-20)	A	96			#^	
Dave Min (SD-37)	A	93			#	
Josh Newman (SD-29)	F	56			#	
Janet Nguyen (SD-36)	F	0			X	
Roger Niello (SD-6)	F	0			X	
Rosilicie Ochoa-Bogh (SD-23)	F	0			X	
Steve Padilla (SD-18)	B-	82	1			
Anthony Portantino (SD-25)	B	84			#^	
Richard Roth (SD-31)	F	44			#	
Susan Rubio (SD-22)	F	56			#	
Kelly Seyarto (SD-32)	F	0			X	
Nancy Skinner (SD-9)	A-	93			#	
Lola Smallwood-Cuevas (SD-28)	A+	101			#^	
Henry Stern (SD-27)	A+	103	1		#	
Tom Umberg (SD-34)	C-	72			#	
Aisha Wahab (SD-10)	A	95	2		#	
Scott Wiener (SD-11)	A-	90			#^	
Scott Wilk (SD-21)	F	22			X	

SUPPORT							OPPOSE	
AB 1167	SBX 1-2	SB 3	SB 253	SB 567	SB 674	SB 709	AB 1633	SB 842
#	#	#	#	#	#	#^	*	#
X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
#	#	#	#	X	#		X	X
#	#	#	*	#	#		X	X
#	#	#	#	#	#		#	X
#	#	#	#	#	#		X	#
#	#	#^	#	*	#		X	#
X	#	#	#	#	#		X	X
	#	#	X	#	#		*	X
#	#	#	#	*	#		*	#
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	#	#^	X	X	#		X	X
#	#	#	#	#^	#		#	X
X	#	#	#	#	#		X	X
X	#	#	*	X	#		X	X
#	#	#^	#^	#	#^	#	*	#
X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
X	#	#	*	X	X		*	X
X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
#	#	#	#	#	#		#	#
#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#
#	#	#	#	#	#		X	X
#	#	#	#	#^	#	#	*	#
#	#	#	#^	*	#		#	#
X	#	#	X	X	#		#	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
#	#	#	#	#	#		*	X
#	#	#	#	#	#		*	X
X	#	#	X	X	#		X	X
X	#	#	*	*	#		X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
#	#^	#^	#^	#	#^	#	X	#
#	#	#	#	#^	#		#	#
#^		#	#^	#	#^		#	#
X	#	#	#	#	#		*	X
#	#	#	#	#^	#		*	#
#	#	#	#^	#	#^		X	#
X	X	X	X	X	#		#	X



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The CEJA scorecard team — Raquel Mason, Liam Fitzpatrick, Mabel Tsang, Sibel Güner, and Anne Brogden, and contributing writers Mari Rose Taruc, Nile Malloy, and Tiffany Eng — thank our members and partners for their generous time and contributions to this scorecard.

In particular, we are grateful to Ameer Raval, Asian Pacific Environmental Network; Marven Norman, Center for Community Action and Environmental Justice; Daniel Ressa, Center on Race, Poverty & the Environment; Phoebe Seaton, Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability; and Martha Dina Argüello, Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles. Design and layout: Design Action Collective. Copy editor: Lawrence Sanfilippo.





CALIFORNIA  
ENVIRONMENTAL  
JUSTICE ALLIANCE



CEJA ACTION  
A PROJECT OF TIDES ADVOCACY

### Contact Information

1820 Jefferson St.  
Oakland, CA 94612  
ceja@caleja.org

[www.caleja.org](http://www.caleja.org)

---

### REPORT COLLABORATORS



COMMUNITIES  
FOR A BETTER  
ENVIRONMENT  
established 1978

